

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/809,160 03/16/		03/16/2001	Akira Motojima	2001-0320A	5734		
513	7590	08/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	•	ND & PONACK, L	WOO, STELLA L .				
2033 K STE SUITE 800		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	TON, D	C 20006-1021	2643				
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/809,160	,	MOTOJIMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Stella L. Woo	,	2643					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, tion. s, a reply within the statutor period will apply and will exy statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	<i>r.</i> mmunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>26 January 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non	-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by				, ,				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Englishments. See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been r uments have been r e priority document Bureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications have been received 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National \$	Stage				
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Pa		-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vaudrey et al. (US 6,650,755, hereinafter "Vaudrey").

Regarding claim 1, Vaudrey discloses a sound system (Figure 8) for reproducing a plurality of channel signals (downmixer to 3 channels via box 273), the sound system comprising:

an attenuating means for attenuating either a left channel signal or a right channel signal according to an operation on an operating part (end-user controlled level adjusters for each channel include left channel level adjuster 276a and right channel level adjuster 276c; Figure 8; col. 9, lines 43-54); and

a controlling means for controlling an attenuation of a center channel signal depending on the attenuation of the left channel signal or the right channel signal (the downmixer 273 provides automatic center level adjustment based on a selected voice-to remaining audio (VRA) ratio in addition to the user-adjustable channel gains; col. 10, lines 9-42).

Regarding claims 3, 7, Vaudrey provides a first adding means and a second adding means within downmix hardware 94 (see Figure 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/809,160 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 5, Vaudrey (see Figure 3) provides for left and right front channels (left and right front speakers 221, 222) and left and right rear channels (left and right rear speakers 223, 224).

Regarding claims 10, 11, Vaudrey provides for a plurality of level adjusters (276a-276e; Figure 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaudrey in view of Miles (US 5,610,986).

Vaudrey differs from claims 2, 4, 6, 8 in that it does not teach attenuating the center channel signal in the range of 0.3n to 0.8n %. However, Miles teaches the desirability of attenuating the center channel in the range of 1 minus 0.45 to 0.7, multiplied by the left and right input signals (col. 6, lines 57-59, 64-66) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such a center channel attenuation range, as taught by Miles, within the sound system of Vaudrey in order to provide an optimum channel balance.

5. Claims 9, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaudrey in view of Klayman (US 5,970,152).

Application/Control Number: 09/809,160

Art Unit: 2643

Vaudrey differs from claims 9, 13 and 15 in that it does not specify a delaying means. However, Klayman, from the same field of endeavor, teaches the desirability of incorporating a delay means before an adding means (audio enhancement devices 40, 42, 44, 46, 102, 104 may use time-delay techniques to achieve a desired audio effect; Figures 1-3; col. 7, lines 5-14) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such use of a delaying means, as taught by Klayman, within the system of Vaudrey to provide a desired audio effect.

6. Claims 12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaudrey in view of Miles, as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Klayman for the same reasons applied to claims 9, 13 and 15 above.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new groundsof rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/809,160

Art Unit: 2643

Page 5

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643